

AT



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,883	12/21/2000	Hans-Ulrich Demuth	20784-5	1277

21710 7590 03/31/2004

BROWN, RUDNICK, BERLACK & ISRAELS, LLP.
BOX IP, 18TH FLOOR
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON, MA 02111

EXAMINER

KAM, CHIH MIN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1653

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/745,883	Applicant(s) DEMUTH ET AL.	
	Examiner Chih-Min Kam	Art Unit 1653	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 14 and 16 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-13 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-16 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed November 24, 2003 is acknowledged. Applicants' response has been fully considered. Claims 4 and 11 have been amended, and claims 1-16 are examined.

Oath/Declaration

2. Applicants indicate a new oath/declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67 (a) will be submitted upon receipt thereof from the inventors.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The English abstracts of two references (EP 995440 and JP 04288098) listed in the information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed April 28, 2003 (paper No. 22) have been considered.

Rejection Withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The previous rejection of claims 4, 11-13 and 15, under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the claims, and applicants' response at pages 9-10 in the amendment filed November 24, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1653

5. Claims 9-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 9-13 and 15 are directed to a method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition for temporally controlled in vivo enzymatic inhibition of DP IV comprising a compound of A-B-C and a pharmaceutical carrier (claims 9 and 10); and a method of treating metabolic disorders such as diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in mammals that can be treated by modulating the DP IV enzymatic activity of a mammal, comprising administering the compound A-B-C, where C is an unstable inhibitor of DP IV containing a dipeptide having a C-terminus active carbonyl group, wherein the unstable inhibitor does not contain a boronate, phosphonate or trifluoroalkyl ketone group (claims 11-13 and 15). The specification, however, only discloses cursory conclusions (page 3, lines 15-17; page 5, lines 7-14) without data supporting the findings, which state that the compounds of unstable inhibitors of DP IV can be used for the treatment of disorders in mammals by modulating the DP IV enzymatic activity, especially metabolic disorders associated with diabetes mellitus. There are no indicia that the present application enables the claimed methods in view of the use of the compound containing an unstable DP IV inhibitor in treating a metabolic disorder in mammals as discussed in the stated rejection. The present application provides no indicia and no teaching/guidance as to how the claimed methods are enabled. The factors considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F2d at 731,737, 8 USPQ2d at 1400,1404 (Fed. Cir.1988)). The factors most relevant to this rejection are the breadth of the

Art Unit: 1653

claims, the presence or absence of working examples, the state of the prior art and relative skill of those in the art, the unpredictability of the art, the nature of the art, the amount of direction or guidance presented, and the amount of experimentation necessary.

(1). The breadth of the claims:

The breadth of the claims is broad and encompasses unspecified variants regarding the treating conditions for various metabolic disorders using the claimed compounds, and the effects of the compounds in the treatment, which are not adequately described or demonstrated in the specification.

(2). The presence or absence of working examples:

There are no working examples indicating the claimed methods in association with various metabolic disorders in mammals.

(3). The state of the prior art and relative skill of those in the art:

The specification indicates the related art (e.g., DE 19616486) has shown how modulation of DP IV activity with DP IV inhibitors (e.g., Ile-thiazolidide) reduces the blood sugar level, thus it is possible using the DP-IV inhibitors to alleviate metabolic anomalies (page 2, lines 9-14), however, the general knowledge and level of the skill in the art do not supplement the omitted description, the specification needs to provide specific guidance on the treatment of various metabolic disorders in mammals with the claimed compounds (the compound containing an unstable DP IV inhibitor) to be considered enabling for variants.

(4). The amount of direction or guidance presented and the quantity of experimentation necessary:

Art Unit: 1653

The claims are directed to a method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition for temporally controlled in vivo enzymatic inhibition of DP IV comprising a compound of A-B-C and a pharmaceutical carrier; and a method of treating metabolic disorders such as diabetes mellitus in mammals by modulating the DP IV enzymatic activity, comprising administering the compound A-B-C, where C is an unstable inhibitor of DP IV containing a dipeptide having a C-terminus active carbonyl group. The specification indicates the compounds containing the unstable inhibitors of DP IV can be used for treating various metabolic disorders, especially disorders associated with diabetes mellitus, and the masked inhibitor is more effective than the non-masked inhibitors because the masked compound produces a marked improvement in glucose tolerance in Wistar rats (page 3, lines 15-21). However, the specification has not demonstrated the use of any compound containing unstable DP IV inhibitor in treating any metabolic disorder, or any disease associated with diabetes mellitus, nor has indicated how to prepare a pharmaceutical composition comprising the compound for in vivo inhibiting DP IV. There is no disclosure indicating the treating conditions such as the dose and the effect of the compound, nor demonstrating the end point of the treatment using the claimed compound. Moreover, there are no working examples indicating the use and the effect of the compound in treating various metabolic disorders. Since the specification fails to provide sufficient guidance on the preparation of the pharmaceutical composition comprising the compound, and the treating conditions of various metabolic disorders, one skilled in the art would not know how to treat the diseases, thus, it is necessary to have additional guidance/teachings and to carry out further experimentation to assess the effects of the compounds containing dipeptide having C-terminus with an active carbonyl group.

Art Unit: 1653

(5). Predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The claim encompasses using a compound comprising an unstable inhibitor of DP IV to treat various metabolic disorders in mammals, since the treating conditions such as the dose for various disorders are not sufficiently described, the outcome of the claimed invention is unpredictable.

(6). Nature of the Invention

The claim are directed to treating various metabolic disorders associated with DP IV enzymatic activity using a compound comprising an unstable inhibitor of DP IV, however the specification has not demonstrated the treatment of these metabolic disorders. Thus, the disclosure is not enabling for reasons discussed above.

In summary, the scope of the claim is broad, while the working example is lacking, the teachings in the specification are limited, and the outcome of the treatment is unpredictable therefore, it is necessary to have additional guidance and to carry out further experimentation to assess the effect of the compound in treating various metabolic disorders.

In response, applicants indicate “the written description” only requires applicants to provide an enabling description of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art, it does not require demonstrating the use of a compound, and the applicants must merely provide sufficient details to allow one skilled in the art to practice the invention; the existence and identity of DP-IV inhibitors are known to those of skill in the art and have been cited are enabled, accordingly, the breadth of claims 9 and 11 are clearly enabled; and the specification has provided the method of administration, dosing and the effect of the compound (see pages 5, 8, 9 of the specification; pages 6-9 of the response). The comments in the response have been assessed in view of the

Art Unit: 1653

current enablement rejection. The argument is not found persuasive because the specification has not demonstrated the use of the compound containing an unstable DP-IV inhibitor in treating various metabolic disorders as encompassed by the claimed method, it only indicates the amount of the inhibitor for inhibiting DP IV in vivo is different in individual cases, and the compound in the pharmaceutical composition can be used for treatment of metabolic disorders by modulating the DP IV activity, it does not provide sufficient teachings on treating conditions such as effective dose used for treating various metabolic disorders, nor indicates the effect of the inhibitor in the treatment of various disorders, as indicated in the section above, without such guidance and teachings, one skilled in the art would not know how to practice the invention.

Conclusion

6. Claims 9-13 and 15 are rejected, it appears claims 1-8, 14 and 16 are free of prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. *CMK*
Patent Examiner

March 27, 2004

Christopher S. F. Low
CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600